



Alignment and Finalisation of PQM

HEMIS Institute
05 August 2015

Hannelie van Rensburg
Director: University Academic Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation

Presentation Content

1. Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group
2. Meetings with each institution - Feedback from the reference group
3. Populating of HEQSF Aligned PQMs with Cat A programmes
4. Populating HEQSF Aligned PQM with Cat B programmes

Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group

- CHE completed its process of analysing and aligning academic programmes submitted to the HEQC as Category A programmes at the end of March 2014.
- DHET noticed that there were a number of anomalies in the lists of Cat A Deemed Accredited programmes and that these programmes could not be placed on the PQMs of universities.

Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group

- Formed the DHET HEQSF Reference Group
- Purpose: to act as an advisory body for the Department of Higher Education (DHET) on matters relating to the alignment of Category A and Category B qualifications and programmes on universities' Ministerial Approved PQMs and HEQSF PQMs.
- The reference group was not consulted when the Cat B deemed accredited programmes were published – unnecessary.

Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group

- Each reference group member was assigned three or four institutions.
- Not assigned types of universities the same as the institution at which the reference group members are employed.
- Provided with the Ministerial Approved PQM; the HEQSF PQM; and the lists of Category A programmes deemed accredited as supplied by the CHE.

Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group

- Identified each programme on the Category A list against an existing programme on the Ministerial Approved PQM and/or HEQSF PQM of the institution.
- Then evaluated whether nomenclature of the new programme name represents the original context (purpose, scientific field of study, and scientific field of specialization, etc.) of the original programme on the institution's Ministerial Approved PQM.

Background to the Analyses of Category A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group

- If yes: the programme was accepted as aligned with the HEQSF and included on a spread sheet containing programmes that are ready to be placed on a university's HEQSF Aligned PQM
- Where programmes were not in the member's opinion Category A programmes as per the definition, they were placed on a spreadsheet indicating that the programme is not by definition Category A programmes
- Reasons or motivations for each decision/opinion was provided.

Analyses of Cat A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group – Anomalies and Issues of Concern

- Institutions submitted individual programmes leading to the same qualification to the CHE
- Therefore: Individual programmes are deemed accredited, instead of qualifications on the PQM
- Approved naming conventions created difficulties for UoTs and Comprehensive Universities in particular, and specifically in the case of Master of Technology (M.Tech) qualifications.
- No natural academic articulation pathway from entry level to master's level studies creating large gaps in the PQMs of these institutions.

Analyses of Cat A Programmes by the HEQSF Reference Group – Anomalies and Issues of Concern

- Hidden major fields of study (MFOSs) due to new 2008 CESM codes while PQMs use 1982 codes (e.g.: South African Sign Language now under 1119; previously under 12 as “Language other”)
- New MFOS placing qualification into new funding level
- In certain cases where a new MFOS is used it may require extensive curriculum redevelopment

2. Meetings with each institution

2.1 Feedback from the reference group

- Each RG member provided feedback of their analyses of Cat A programmes indicating
 - Is it in fact a Cat A programme?
 - If so, it was placed on the HEQSF Aligned PQM with Cat A programmes
 - If not, reasons were provided for example:
 - Not on Ministerial Approved PQM of 2004/2005
 - MFOSs suggest designator must be Commerce instead of Arts
 - Duplications (Full research vs Structured)

2. Meetings with each institution

- A delegation from the DHET's Chief Directorate: University Academic Planning and Management Support met with a delegation from each university (23 in 2014)
- Outcomes of the analyses were discussed:
 - Requests for clarifications on comments
 - How many Cat programmes were submitted (several discrepancies)
 - Proof of accreditation for programmes that were not on Ministerial Approved PQMs but submitted as Cat A

3. Populating of HEQSF Aligned PQMs with Cat A programmes

- Institutions requested to
 - use the HEQF PQM as a template (therefore HEQF aligned programmes that was already HEQSF aligned were already on the templates (maintain colour coding)
 - Add a column for the SAQA ID (Qual/LP ID)
 - Transfer programmes from the Ministerial Approved PQMs that did not need alignment to the HEQSF Aligned PQM or are Cat C programmes
 - ~~Strike through~~ programmes on the Ministerial Approved PQM that was longer offered or was transferred to the HEQSF Aligned PQM
 - Indicate teach-out dates or dates of last FTEN enrolments on Ministerial Approved PQM
 - Include Cat A programmes agreed to by the DHET

4. Populating HEQSF Aligned PQM with Cat B programmes

- CHE announced deemed accredited Cat B programmes on its HEQSF Alignment website in March 2015
- Cat B lists contained one of three outcomes per programme:
 - Deemed Accredited
 - Needs Improvement (deferrals and resubmissions)
 - Not Cat B (representation)
 - Not HEQSF aligned (representations)
- Institutions given 30 April 2015 as deadline to resubmit programmes that needed improvement
- First batch of deferrals/representation tabled at the HEQC Board Meeting of 10 June 2015 (hoping to notify institutions of the outcome during the course of this week, and early next week)
- Delay due to a technical glitch on the HEQSF-Online system.
- Remaining category B deferrals/representations will be tabled at the 02 September 2015.
- DHET advised institutions to wait for final outcomes of deferrals/representations before placing Cat B programmes on HEQSF Aligned PQMs
- Some institutions did not have any Cat B programmes – Preparing Ministerial Submission


Populating HEQSF Aligned PQM with Cat B programmes

- Once CHE has completed Cat B institutions will be requested to add Cat B deemed accredited programmes to HEQSF Aligned PQMs
- DHET can “see” all Cat Bs of all institutions and will monitor
- Note! The names as deemed accredited by the CHE should now be on the Academic Qualification Structures, and no longer institutional names!
- Deadline: **Hopefully** 30 November 2015

“In reality, hope is the worst of all evils, because it prolongs man's torments. (Nietzsche)”

- [D:\Copy of MUT HEQSF PQM 28 July 2015 Final.xlsx](#)
- [D:\Copy of University of Pretoria PQM July 29 2015.xlsx](#)

Thank You.



Questions
are
guaranteed in
life;
Answers
aren't.

